



# Union County, NC

## Land Use Board Meeting Agenda

---

Tuesday, June 9, 2026

6:00 PM

Board Room, First Floor

---

### Call to Order

Pledge of Allegiance and Moment of Reflection

### Establish Voting Members

### Additions and/or Deletions to the Agenda

### Approval of the Agenda

### Agenda Item(s)

#### [26-330](#)

**Text Amendment to Sections 25.010 Table of Allowed Uses, Section 25.020-I, Other Use Category, 30.210 Data Centers, and 32.220 Cryptomining Operations of the Union County Development Ordinance**

**INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lee Jenson, Planning Department, Director, 704-283-3564

**ACTION REQUESTED:**

Consider recommending approval or denial of the proposed text amendments.

**PRIOR BOARD ACTIONS:**

**BACKGROUND:**

These proposed text amendments deal with the emerging technology of data centers and cryptomining operations. These text amendments define the uses, restrict where the uses may operate, and place supplemental requirements on the uses.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**

None.

**Attachments:** [Data Center Text Amendment Staff Report](#)  
[Data Center Crypto Ordinance Draft 6 03 26](#)  
[Land Use Board Advisory Consistency and Reasonableness Statements - TXT-2026-002 - Revised](#)

**26-302****Minor Subdivision Discussion****DETERMINATION OF CONFLICTS****INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lee Jenson, Planning Department, Director, 704-283-3564

**ACTION REQUESTED:**

Continue discussion of subdivision standards.

**BACKGROUND:**

The Board, at their February 17, 2026, meeting, following a discussion about minor subdivisions, directed staff to bring back possible changes to the minor subdivision provisions of the UDO. The Land Use Board discussed various provisions of the UDO at their February 24, 2026, meeting, and decided to have more detailed discussions about pertinent sections of the UDO over the coming months. The Land Use Board discussed the topics below at their March 17, 2026, meeting and decided to continue much of the discussion into June. This month the following topics will be discussed in more depth:

1. Discussion of the UDO definition of "Lot" in Section 105.130.
  - a. No decisions were made. The LUB wanted to continue discussions on this item.
2. Discussion of minor vs. major lot threshold/parent parcel date
  - a. The LUB decided that they were comfortable with the existing language of the parent parcel but wanted to discuss the minor subdivision lot threshold a little more and wanted information on how other counties define a minor subdivision.
3. Family subdivision exception discussion
  - a. The LUB wanted information on how other counties deal with family subdivisions

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**

None.

**Attachments:** [Minor subdivision discussion LUB 6-9-26](#)

**Planning Staff Report****Brief Comments****Adjournment**